Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer and Mentoplate for Rapid Palatal Expansion, Class III Treatment, and Upper Molar Distalization
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The prevalence of skeletal Class III malocclusion is estimated at only 3-5% in the Caucasian population\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\) and as much as 14% in Chinese and Japanese populations.\(^4\) Despite the relatively low incidence in Caucasians, about a third of all U.S. orthognathic-surgical patients present with Class III malocclusions.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^8\) The etiology may involve a retrognathic maxilla, a prognathic mandible, or both.\(^9\),\(^10\)

A protraction facemask can be used to treat maxillary retrognathia in a preadolescent patient by exerting pressure to separate the circummaxillary sutures and move the maxillary skeletal and dentoalveolar complex forward. When force is applied directly to the maxillary teeth, however, the anchorage loss and anterior movement of the dentition may lead to anterior crowding and ectopic displacement or impaction of the developing upper canines.\(^11\) Anchorage reinforcement by means of ankylosed deciduous canines,\(^12\) dental implants,\(^13\) or miniplates\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^17\) can mitigate these undesirable effects by transferring the orthopedic forces directly to the nasomaxillary complex.

De Clerck described the use of four Bollard miniplates with intermaxillary Class III elastics to treat midface deficiency by orthopedic traction of the maxilla in the late mixed or early adolescent dentition.\(^18\) These bone plates, which have transcutaneous hooks passing through the gingivae, are placed in the infrrazygomatic crest of the maxilla and the canine region of the mandible. Surgical...
criteria limit the technique to patients who are older than 10 and have enough bone density in the infrazygomatic crest to retain the fixation screws with minimal chance of loosening. The lower canines also need to be sufficiently erupted for safe placement of the plates close to the inferior border of the mandible.

**Technique**

Protraction facemask therapy is often combined with rapid palatal expansion to enhance sagittal advancement of the maxilla. The skeletally anchored Hybrid Hyrax* appliance, introduced by Wilmes and colleagues, requires a minimally invasive surgical procedure. Two mini-implants are inserted in the paramedian area of the anterior hard palate to support anchorage in the sagittal and transverse dimensions. Thick lateral soft tissue limits the distance between the mini-implants to 5-10mm. When positioned in a paramedian pattern, the mini-implants should not be angulated anteriorly, but should instead be placed directly perpendicular to the occlusal plane. The relatively low volume of bone in this region requires the use of a shorter mini-implant, 7-9mm in length.

Wilmes and colleagues also developed the titanium Mentoplate, an appliance surgically positioned inferior to the lower incisors. The advantage of the Mentoplate over Bollard fixation plates is that it can be used in younger Class III patients. Although it is similar to a facemask in requiring patient compliance, it is presumed to encourage better acceptance because the elastics are intraoral rather than extraoral. Combining the Hybrid Hyrax in the maxilla and the Mentoplate in the anterior mandible facilitates transfer of the protractive forces directly to the underlying skeletal structures. In addition, a modification called the Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer provides upper-molar distalization during orthopedic advancement of the maxilla.

**Case Report**

An 11-year-old female presented with an anterior crossbite, a severe Class III malocclusion, a 9mm maxillary transverse deficiency, and about 4mm of mesial migration of the upper premolars and first molars, resulting in bilateral ectopic upper canines and lower incisor malalignment. Clinical examination revealed an anterior functional shift with a centric relation-centric occlusion discrepancy of 4mm (Fig. 1). The upper incisors were retroclined; the molar relationships were Class III on both sides, with a Class III canine relationship on the right and a Class I canine relationship on the left. The patient had a −4mm overjet, a 5mm overbite, and coincident maxillary and mandibular dental midlines. Her facial features were leptoprosopic, but she had a straight profile (Table 1).

---

**TABLE 1**

**CEPHALOMETRIC ANALYSIS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Norm</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Post-Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>82.0°</td>
<td>73.1°</td>
<td>78.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNB</td>
<td>80.3°</td>
<td>75.3°</td>
<td>77.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>2.0°</td>
<td>−2.2°</td>
<td>0.7°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits appraisal</td>
<td>+1.0mm</td>
<td>−5.5mm</td>
<td>+0.8mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP-PP</td>
<td>23.5°</td>
<td>29.4°</td>
<td>24.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-PP</td>
<td>112.5°</td>
<td>100.5°</td>
<td>113.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-MP</td>
<td>90.0°</td>
<td>91.1°</td>
<td>87.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-L1</td>
<td>131.0°</td>
<td>139.0°</td>
<td>135.2°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 A. 11-year-old female Class III patient with anterior crossbite before treatment. B. Superimposition of dental arches (1 square = 4mm).
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Treatment objectives included eliminating the Class III malocclusion by maxillary protrusion, without applying force directly to the teeth; enabling continued development and eruption of the upper canines; leveling and aligning both arches; resolving the lower anterior crowding while avoiding excessive proclination of the incisors; and establishing a Class I relationship with normal and stable overbite and overjet. Removal of the upper first premolars was considered as a way to address the maxillary crowding and insufficient arch length for alignment of the ectopic upper canines. These extractions could have led to extrusion of the anterior segment during retraction and further loss of upper incisor torque, however, which would have undermined our ability to address the sagittal Class III relationships. A second treatment option would have been to use cervical headgear to distalize the maxillary dentition, but this would have had a restrictive effect on the maxilla and conflicted with our objective of maxillary advancement. After the relative merits, shortcomings, and risks of each treatment modality were explained to the patient and her parents, they decided to proceed with the Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer and Mentoplate—primarily due to the simplicity of this approach.

Following the application of topical and local anesthesia, two Benefit system** 2mm × 9mm mini-implants were inserted adjacent to the midpalatal suture in a transverse configuration, using a manual contra-angle driver. Stainless steel bands were sized and fitted to the upper first permanent molars. A polyvinyl siloxane impression of the maxilla was taken for fabrication of the Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer. Under local anesthesia, an oral surgeon reflected a full-thickness mucoperiosteal flap to place the Mentoplate in the anterior mandible using four monocortical screws. The Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer was affixed to the mini-implants and molars with a light-cured cement.***

Expansion was immediately begun by activating the sagittal split screw 90° four times per day, .2mm per turn, for a total of .8mm daily. A calibrated 400g protraction force was applied on each side by attaching intraoral elastics directly to the Mentoplate. After 12 days of continuous activation, the transverse dimension of the maxillary intermolar width had increased by 9mm (Fig. 2A).

The sagittal split screw was then secured for the molar-distalization phase. The patient was instructed to activate the bilateral distalization screws weekly (.2mm per week). After eight months, the molars had been distalized 4mm (Fig. 2B). The patient was instructed to continue wearing...

---

**PSM Medical Solutions, Tuttingen, Germany; www.psm.ms. Distributed in the U.S. by PSM North America, Indio, CA; www.psm-na.us.
†Registered trademark of Ormco Corporation, Orange, CA; www.ormco.com.
‡Registered trademark of Dentsply GAC, Islandia, NY; www.dentsply.com.
§3M Unitek, Monrovia, CA; www.3MUnitek.com.
A modified Quad-Helix appliance was fabricated to maintain and adjust the transverse width and provide segmental leveling for alignment of the upper second molars. Attachments were bonded to the palatal surfaces of the molars and connected to the modified Quad-Helix appliance with elastomeric chain (Fig. 4). An .016" × .022" Twistflex archwire was used for detailing and finishing, in conjunction with triangular elastics in the premolar and canine regions.

The fixed-appliance phase was completed in 13 months. A lower 3-3 lingual retainer was bonded, and a removable Andresen activator was delivered for nighttime wear to prevent relapse of the Class III malocclusion.

After treatment, the patient had a bilateral Class I relationship with normal overbite and overjet and near-ideal upper and lower incisor angulation. Cephalometric analysis confirmed that the Class III was corrected by maxillary protraction, as indicated by an increase in SNA from 73.1° to...
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Fig. 5 A. Patient 13 months after treatment (continued on next page).
...The maxillary intermolar dimension was increased by about 9mm. Superimposition showed autorotation of the mandible, with a 4.9° reduction in MP-PP, so that the facial form became more mesoprospic.

The patient complied with wearing the removable Andresen activator as instructed. A follow-up review 13 months after treatment demonstrated a stable occlusion (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The anterior palate offers a stable insertion site for larger mini-implants because of its high bone quality, thin overlying soft tissue, and negligible risk of interference with teeth or roots. One study reported a success rate of 98% for palatal mini-implants. Predrilling to a depth of 2-3mm is indicated only in adult patients, due to their greater bone mineralization, but is rarely required in children and young adolescents.

The combination of a Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer and Mentoplate offers several advantages in Class III treatment. It is relatively esthetic because the protraction forces are applied intraorally. The sagittal forces are transferred directly to the nasomaxillary complex, with no observable anchorage loss as manifested by mesial migration of the maxillary posterior teeth. The transverse forces for rapid maxillary expansion are applied anterior to the mini-implants and thus closer to the center of resistance of the nasomaxillary complex, while the upper molars are distalized with the same appliance.

In our patient, the application of intermaxillary elastics with the Mentoplate resulted in a substantial improvement in the Wits appraisal (6.3mm), more than the reported average of 4.1mm with the Hybrid Hyrax and protraction facemask. This improvement was primarily caused by forward movement of the maxilla, as demonstrated by a 5° increase in SNA. Only mild...
irritation occurred on the left side of the Mentoplate where the plate extension passed over mobile mucosa.

Treatment duration was considered acceptable in light of the high level of commitment shown by the patient and her family. A randomized clinical trial conducted by Mandall and colleagues found that 70% of the children treated with a protraction facemask retained favorable changes to their maxillary and mandibular bases over three years, suggesting that such changes are stable. Further studies are required to assess the long-term stability of the Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer in combination with the Mentoplate.
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